Enforcement Response Plan Section 4.3

1.	You were required to develop an Enforcement Response Plan (ERP) and submit the document to EPD. Have you completed ERP development? Yes \boxtimes No \square
2.	If yes, provide the date of submittal to EPD: 11/1/2015
3.	If no, explain the reason for the delay and provide the status of the ERP development: NA
4.	Was the ERP updated during the reporting period? Yes□ No ☒ i. If yes, is a copy attached? Yes□ No□

Impaired Waters Section 4.4

1. You are required to develop either an Impaired Waters Plan (population <10,000) or a

	Monitoring and Implementation Plan (population to develop:	on >10,000). Check which one you are required	
	☐ Impaired Waters Plan☒ Monitoring and Implementation Plan		
2. For existing permittees, including those permittees designated on March 7, 2014, you required to submit the relevant Plan by a previous deadline date. (Note: newly design permittees must submit a plan within 4 years of designation). Have you compl development of the Plan? Yes⊠ No□		vious deadline date. (Note: newly designated	
3.	. If yes, provide the date of submittal to EPD: 11/6/2015 Revised and submitted June 2, 2023, as part of our SWMP. Pending EPD approval.		
4.	If no, provide the status of the Plan development: NA		
5.	You are required to check the latest 305(b)/303(d) list to determine if newly listed waters are within your jurisdiction. Have you reviewed this list? Yes⊠ No□		
6.	If newly listed waters have been identified, you must revise your Plan. If a Plan revision is required, provide a copy of the completed Plan. If the Plan has not yet been completed, provide the status and the projected date for submittal to EPD: Submitted June 2, 2023		
7.	For permittees with an Impaired Waters Plan:		
	A. Provide the following for each impaired water located within the MS4 jurisdictional area that are located on the latest 303(d) list:		
	Name of Water	Pollutant of Concern	
	Two Mile Branch	FC	
	Withlacoochee River	FC TWR (fish)	

*Refer to attachment 09-City of Valdosta Impaired Waters for EPD notes on Sugar Creek and Unnamed Tributary to Sugar Creek.

pH (TMDL NOT Completed)

TBD by EPD

Sugar Creek

Unnamed Tributary to Sugar Creek

В.	You are required to provide an assessment of the effectiveness of the best management
	practices chosen to address each pollutant of concern. Is the assessment attached?
	Yes ⊠No □

- 8. For permittees with a Monitoring and Implementation Plan:
 - A. Provide the following information for each impaired water located within the MS4 jurisdictional area that are included on the latest 305(b)/303(d) list:

Name of Water	Pollutant of Concern	Monitoring Location	Sampling Frequency
Two Mile Branch	FC	Oak St. & Meadowbrook	Feb, May, Aug & Nov
		Dr.	INOV
Withlacoochee	FC, TWR (fish)	N. Valdosta Rd.	Feb, May, Aug &
River		& Hwy 133	Nov

^{*}EPD approved the City of Valdosta to eliminate TWR monitoring in January 2020

В.	You are required to provide monitoring data obtained for each pollutant of concern. Is the monitoring data attached? Yes $\boxtimes No$ \square
C.	You are required to provide an assessment of the data trends over time for each pollutant of concern regarding the status of the water quality. Is the assessment attached? Yes \boxtimes No \square
D.	You are required to provide an assessment of the effectiveness of the best management practices chosen to address each pollutant of concern. Is the assessment attached? Yes $\boxtimes N_0 \square$

Sharing Responsibility Section 4.5

I.	Are you sharing responsibility for implementation of any part of the SWMP with another entity? Yes \square No \boxtimes
2.	If yes, provide the name of the entity: NA
3.	Are you performing tasks for another entity? Yes□ No⊠
4.	Is another entity performing tasks on your behalf? Yes□ No⊠
5.	If you answered "Yes" to either question #3 or #4, describe what tasks are being performed by which entity: NA
6.	You must provide a copy of a signed written agreement. Was an agreement included with the SWMP? Yes□ No⊠